**Noname manuscript No.**
(will be inserted by the editor)

# Moment approach for singular values distribution of a large auto-covariance matrix

**Wang Qinwen · Yao Jianfeng**

arXiv:1410.0752v2 [math.PR] 14 Oct 2014

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** Let $(\varepsilon_t)_{t>0}$ be a sequence of independent real random vectors of $p$-dimension and let $X_T = \sum_{t=s+1}^{s+T} \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-s}^T / T$ be the lag-$s$ ($s$ is a fixed positive integer) auto-covariance matrix of $\varepsilon_t$. Since $X_T$ is not symmetric, we consider its singular values, which are the square roots of the eigenvalues of $X_T X_T^T$. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to investigate the limiting behaviors of the eigenvalues of $X_T X_T^T$ in two aspects. First, we show that the empirical spectral distribution of its eigenvalues converges to a nonrandom limit $F$. Second, we establish the convergence of its largest eigenvalue to the right edge of $F$. Both results are derived using moment methods.

**Keywords** Auto-covariance matrix · Singular values · Limiting spectral distribution · Stieltjes transform · Largest eigenvalue · Moment method

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 15A52 · 60F15

## 1 Introduction

Let $(\varepsilon_t)_{t>0}$ be a sequence of independent real random vectors of $p$-dimension and let $X_T = \sum_{t=s+1}^{s+T} \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-s}^T / T$ be the lag-$s$ ($s$ is a fixed positive integer) auto-covariance matrix of $\varepsilon_t$. The motivation of the above set up is due to the study of dynamic factor model, see [7]. Set

$$x_t = \Lambda f_t + \varepsilon_t + \mu \ , \tag{1}$$

Wang Qinwen
Department of Mathematics
Zhejiang University
email:wqw8813@gmail.com

Yao Jianfeng
Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam, Hong Kong
email:jeffyao@hku.hk

where $x_t$ is a $p$-dimensional sequence observed at time $t$, $\{f_t\}$ a sequence of $m$-dimensional "latent factor" ($m \ll p$) uncorrelated with the error process $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the general mean. Therefore, the lag-$s$ auto-covariance matrix of the time series $x_t$ can be considered as a finite rank (rank $m$) perturbation of the lag-$s$ auto-covariance matrix of $\varepsilon_t$. Therefore, the first step is to study the base component, which is the lag-$s$ auto-covariance matrix of the error term. Besides, we are considering the random matrix framework, where the dimension $p$ and the sample size $T$ both tend to infinity with their ratio converging to a constant: $\lim p/T \to y > 0$.

One of the main problems in random matrix theory is to investigate the convergence of the sequence of *empirical spectral distribution* $\{F^{A_n}\}$ for a given sequence of symmetric or Hermitian random matrices $\{A_n\}$, where

$$F^{A_n}(x) := \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} \delta_{l_j} \ ,$$

$l_j$ are the eigenvalues of $A_n$. The limit distribution $F$, which is usually nonrandom, is called the *limiting spectral distribution* (LSD) of the sequence $\{A_n\}$. The study of spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices dates back to the Wigner's famous semicircular law ([17]) for Wigner matrix, which is further extended in various aspects: Marčenko-Pastur (M-P) law ([10]) for large dimensional sample covariance matrix; and circular law for complex random matrix ([4]). Another aspect is the bound on extreme eigenvalues. The literature dates back to [3], who proved the almost sure convergence of the largest eigenvalue of a sample covariance matrix under however some moment restrictions, which is later improved by [18]. For Wigner matrix, [2] found the sufficient and necessary condition for the almost sure convergence of its largest eigenvalue. [15] presented an upper bound for the spectral norm of symmetric random matrices with independent entries and [11] derived the lower bound. [14] studied the sharp upper bound of the spectral norm of products of random and deterministic matrices, which behave similarly to random matrices with independent entries, etc.

Notice that lag-0 auto-covariance matrix of $\varepsilon_t$ reduces to the standard sample covariance matrix $\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t^T$ and its property in large-dimension has been well developed in the literature. In contrast, very few is known for the lag-$s$ auto-covariance matrix $X_T$. Recent related work include [9], [8] and [6] for the LSD of the symmetrized auto-covariance matrix and [16] for its exact separation, which also ensures the convergence of its largest eigenvalue.

Since $X_T$ is not symmetric, its singular values are the square roots of the $p$ nonnegative eigenvalues of

$$A_T := X_T X_T^T \ . \tag{2}$$

Therefore, the main purpose of this paper is on the limiting behaviors of the eigenvalues of $A_T$. First, we derive exact moment formula for its LSD. Next, this moment sequence is shown to satisfy the Carleman condition so that

it determines uniquely the LSD. Then using power expansion, the Stieltjes transform of the LSD

$$s(z) := \int \frac{1}{x-z} dF(x)$$

can be found to satisfy the following equation:

$$y^2 z^2 s^3(z) + y^2 z s^2(z) - yzs^2(z) - zs(z) - 1 = 0 \ .$$

This result is derived in [8] using a totally different Stieltjes transform method. Second, we show the convergence of the largest eigenvalue of $A_T$ to the right end point of the support of $F$ almost surely (trivially, this implies the convergence of the largest singular value of $X_T$). Both these two results are derived using moment method. A distinctive feature here is that the matrix $A_T$ can be considered as the product of four matrices involving $\varepsilon_t$, new methodology is needed with respect to the existing literature on random matrix theory. In particular, we provide in Section 5 some recursion formula related to the number of random walks, which further leads to our moment result, and the method may be of independent interest.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Preliminary introduction on the related graph theory is provided in Section 2. Section 3 derives the exact moment formula for the limiting spectral distribution of $A_T$, which further leads to the expression of its corresponding Stieltjes transform. Section 4 gives details of the convergence of the largest eigenvalue of $A_T$. In Section 5, we provide some techniques related to random walks for deriving some recursion formula, which further leads to the limiting moments in Section 3.

## 2 Some graph theory

In order to calculate the moments of the LSD, we need some information from graph theory.

For a pair of vectors of indexes $i = (i_1, \cdots, i_{2k})$ $(1 \le i_l \le T,\ l \le 2k)$ and $j = (j_1, \cdots, j_{2k})$ $(1 \le j_l \le p,\ l \le 2k)$, construct a graph $Q(i, j)$ in the following way. Draw two parallel lines, referred to as the I-line and J-line. Plot $i_1, \cdots, i_{2k}$ on the I-line and $j_1, \cdots, j_{2k}$ on the J-line, called the I-vertices and J-vertices, respectively. Draw $k$ down edges from $i_{2u-1}$ to $j_{2u-1}$, $k$ down edges from $i_{2u} + s$ to $j_{2u}$, $k$ up edges from $j_{2u-1}$ to $i_{2u}$, $k$ up edges from $j_{2u}$ to $i_{2u+1} + s$ (all these up and down edges are called vertical edges) and $k$ horizontal edges from $i_{2u}$ to $i_{2u} + s$, $k$ horizontal edges from $i_{2u-1} + s$ to $i_{2u-1}$ (with the convention that $i_{2k+1} = i_1$), where all the $u$'s are in the region: $1 \le u \le k$. An example of a $Q$ graph with $k = 3$ is shown in Figure 1.

**Definition 1** The subgraph of all I-vertices is called the **roof** of $Q$ and is denoted by $H(Q)$ (see also Figure 1 for illustration of $H(Q)$).

**Definition 2** The M-**minor or pillar** of $Q$ is defined as the **minor** of $Q$ by contracting all horizontal edges, which means that all horizontal edges are removed from Q and all I-vertices connected through horizontal edges are glued together. We denote the M-**minor or pillar** of $Q$ by $M(Q)$ (see Figure 2).




Fig. 1: An example of a $Q$ graph with $k = 3$.




Fig. 2: The M-minor or pillar $M(Q)$ that corresponds to the graph $Q$ in Figure 1.

**Definition 3** For a given $M(Q)$, glue all coincident vertical edges; namely, we regard all vertical edges with a common I-vertex and J-vertex as one edge. Then we get an undirectional connected graph. We call the resulting graph the **base** of the graph Q, and denote it by B(Q) (see Figure 3).




Fig. 3: The base $B(Q)$ that corresponds to the graph $Q$ in Figure 1.

**Definition 4** For a vertical edge $e$ of $B(Q)$, the number of up (down) vertical edges of $Q$ coincident with $e$ is called the **up (down) multiplicity** of $e$.

**Definition 5** The **degree** of a vertex $i_l$ is the number of edges incident to this vertex.

**Definition 6** An up (down) **innovation** is an up (down) vertical edge which leads to a vertex that has not been visited before.

**Definition 7** Two graphs are said to be **isomorphic** if one becomes the other by a suitable permutation on $(1, \cdots, T)$ and a suitable permutation on $(1, \cdots, p)$.

**Definition 8** Define a **characteristic sequence** as $(d_1\ u_1\ \cdots\ d_{2k}\ u_{2k})$, where $\{u_1, \cdots, u_{2k}\}$ and $\{d_1, \cdots, d_{2k}\}$ are associated with the $2k$ up edges and $2k$ down edges of a pillar $M(Q)$ according to the following rule:

$$u_l = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ the } l\text{-th up edge is an up innovation }, \\ 0, \text{ otherwise }, \end{cases}$$

and

$$d_l = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ the } l\text{-th down edge is an down innovation }, \\ -1, \text{ otherwise }. \end{cases}$$

An example of the characteristic sequence associated with the pillar in Figure 2 is given in the following Figure 4 with

$$\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6\} = \{1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 0,\ 0,\ 0\}\ ,$$
$$\{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6\} = \{0,\ 0,\ 0,\ \text{-1},\ \text{-1},\ 0\}\ ;$$

that is, the corresponding characteristic sequence is (0 1 0 1 0 0 -1 0 -1 0 0 0). Conversely, it can be verified that any characteristic sequence $(d_1\ u_1\ \cdots\ d_{2k}\ u_{2k})$ uniquely defines a pillar $M(Q)$.




Fig. 4: Characteristic sequence associated with the pillar $M(Q)$ in Figure 2.

## 3 LSD of $A_T$ using moment method

The problem of showing the convergence of the ESD of $A_T$ reduces to showing that the sequence of its moments $m_k(A_T) := \operatorname{tr} A_T^k/p$, $k \geq 1$, tends to a limit $(m_k)_k$, and this limit determines properly a probability distribution. For example, the later property is guaranteed if the moment sequence $(m_k)_k$ satisfies the Carleman condition:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{2k}^{-1/2k} = \infty \ . \tag{3}$$

The following theorem gives the exact formula for the limiting moments $(m_k)_k$.

**Theorem 1** *Suppose the following conditions hold:*
*(a). $(\varepsilon_t)_t$ is a sequence of independent p-dimensional real valued random vectors with independent entries $\varepsilon_{it}$, $i = 1, \cdots, p$ satisfying*

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{ij}) = 0, \ \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{ij}^2) = 1$$

*and for any $\eta > 0$,*

$$\frac{1}{\eta^4 pT} \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\left(|\varepsilon_{ij}|^4 I_{(|\varepsilon_{ij}| \geq \eta T^{1/4})}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad \text{as } p, T \to \infty;$$

*(b). $p$ and $T$ tend to infinity proportionally, that is,*

$$p \to \infty, \ T \to \infty, \ y_T := p/T \to y \in (0, \infty) \ .$$

*Then, with probability one, the empirical spectral distribution $F^{A_T}$ of the matrix $A_T$ in (2) tends to a limiting distribution $F$ whose k-th moment is given by:*

$$m_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k}{i} \binom{k}{i+1} y^{2k-1-i} \ .$$

*Remark 1* Using the expression of the limiting moment above, we are able to derive that the Stieltjes transform of $F$:

$$s(z) := \int \frac{1}{x - z} dF(x)$$

satisfies the following equation:

$$y^2 z^2 s^3(z) + y^2 z s^2(z) - y z s^2(z) - z s(z) - 1 = 0 \ , \tag{4}$$

which coincides with an earlier result in [8] found by using the Stieltjes transform method.

Indeed, by the series expansion of the function $\frac{1}{1-x}$, the Stieltjes transform of a LSD can be expanded using its moments:

$$\begin{aligned} s(z) &= \int \frac{1}{x-z} dF(x) = -\frac{1}{z} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{i+1}} \cdot m_i \\ &= -\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_i}{z^i} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Let $h(z)$ be the moment generating function of $m_i$:

$$h(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m_i z^i \ ,$$

then the part $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_i/z^i$ equals to $h(1/z) - 1$. Therefore, we have the relationship between the Stieltjes transform $s(z)$ and the moment generating function $h(z)$:

$$s(z) = -\frac{1}{z} h\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \ . \tag{5}$$

In the proof of Theorem 1, we will see that $h$ satisfies the equation:

$$xy^2 h^3(x) + x(y - y^2)h^2(x) - h(x) + 1 = 0 \ ,$$

which is detailed in Appendix, see (54). Let $x = 1/z$ in it and combine with (5) leads to (4).

*Proof* (of Theorem 1) After truncation and centralization, see Appendix A in [8], we may assume in all the following that

$$|\varepsilon_{ij}| \le \delta p^{1/4} := M, \ \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{ij}) = 0, \ \mathrm{Var}(\varepsilon_{ij}) = 1 \ .$$

With a little bit calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_k(A_T) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{T} \sum_{\mathbf{j}=1}^{p} \frac{1}{T^{2k}} [\varepsilon_{j_1\, i_1} \varepsilon_{j_1\, i_2} \varepsilon_{j_2\, s+i_2} \varepsilon_{j_2\, s+i_3} \varepsilon_{j_3\, i_3} \varepsilon_{j_3\, i_4} \varepsilon_{j_4\, s+i_4} \varepsilon_{j_4\, s+i_5} \\ &\qquad \cdots \varepsilon_{j_{2k-1}\, i_{2k-1}} \varepsilon_{j_{2k-1}\, i_{2k}} \varepsilon_{j_{2k}\, s+i_{2k}} \varepsilon_{j_{2k}\, s+i_1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{pT^{2k}} \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} E_{Q(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \ , \end{aligned}$$

where the summation runs over all $Q(i,j)$-graph of length $4k$ as defined in Section 2, the indices in $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_{2k})$ run over $1, 2, \cdots, T$ and the indices in $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \cdots, j_{2k})$ run over $1, 2, \cdots, p$. See the following Figure 5 for an illustration.

Now suppose the pillar of the $Q$-graph in Figure 5 has $t$ noncoincident $I$-vertices and $s$ noncoincident $J$-vertices, which results in $s$ down innovation and $t-1$ up innovation (we make the convention that the first down edge is




Fig. 5: The $Q(i,j)$-graph that corresponds to (6).

always a down innovation and the last up edge is not an innovation). Then in the corresponding characteristic sequence $(d_1\ u_1\ \cdots\ d_{2k}\ u_{2k})$, the number of "1" ("1" only appears in the even position as it corresponds to the up edge) is $t-1$, the number of "-1" ("-1" only appears in the odd position) is $2k-s$ and the sequence starts and ends with "0".

We classify the $Q$-graphs in Figure 5 into three categories:

Category 1 (denoted by $Q_1$) contains all the $Q$-graphs that in its pillar $M(Q)$, each down edge must coincide with one and only one up edge and its base $B(Q)$ is a tree of $2k$ edges. In this category, $t+s-1=2k$ and thus $s$ is suppressed for simplicity.

Category 2 (denoted by $Q_2$) contains all the $Q$-graphs that have at least one single vertical edge.

Category 3 (denoted by $Q_3$) contains all other $Q$-graphs.

The almost sure convergence of the ESD of $A_T$ will result from the following two assertions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(m_k(A_T)) &= \frac{1}{p}\sum_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{T}\sum_{\mathbf{j}=1}^{p}\frac{1}{T^{2k}}\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{j_1\,i_1}\varepsilon_{j_1\,i_2}\varepsilon_{j_2\,s+i_2}\varepsilon_{j_2\,s+i_3}\varepsilon_{j_3\,i_3}\varepsilon_{j_3\,i_4}\varepsilon_{j_4\,s+i_4}\varepsilon_{j_4\,s+i_5}\\ &\qquad\cdots\varepsilon_{j_{2k-1}\,i_{2k-1}}\varepsilon_{j_{2k-1}\,i_{2k}}\varepsilon_{j_{2k}\,s+i_{2k}}\varepsilon_{j_{2k}\,s+i_1}] \qquad (6)\\ &= \frac{1}{pT^{2k}}\sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}\right)\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\frac{1}{k}\binom{2k}{i}\binom{k}{i+1}y_T^{2k-1-i}+o(1)\ , \qquad (7)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Var}(m_k(A_T))\\ &= \frac{1}{p^2T^{4k}}\sum_{\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1},\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2}}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_1(\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1})}E_{Q_2(\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2})}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_1(\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1})}\right)\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_2(\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2})}\right)\right]\\ &= O(p^{-2})\ . \qquad (8)\end{aligned}$$

**The proof of (7).** Since $\mathbb{E}\varepsilon_{ij} = 0$, the only non-vanishing terms in (6) are those for which each edge in the $Q$-graph occurs at least twice. So the contribution of Category 2 is zero.

Next, we only consider those $Q$-graphs that fall in Category 1 and 3. Denote $b_l$ the degree associated to the $I$-vertex $i_l$ $(1 \le l \le t)$ in its corresponding $M$-pillar, then we have $b_1 + \cdots + b_t = 4k$, which is the total number of edges. On the other hand, since we glue the $I$-vertex $i_l$ and $i_l + s$ in the definition of $M$-pillar, each degree $b_l$ should be no less than 4; otherwise, there will be some single vertical edges in the $Q$-graph, which results in Category 2. Therefore, we have

$$4k = b_1 + \cdots + b_t \ge 4t \ ,$$

which is $t \le k$.

Category 1: In Category 1, since in the $Q$-graph, each down edge must coincide with one and only one up edge, the total number of non-coincident edges is $2k$. Besides, due to the restriction that the base $B(Q)$ is a tree, we have $s+t-1 = 2k$ (according to the definition of a tree that $\#\{\text{edges}\} = \#\{\text{vertices}\} - 1$), and this means that the summation over the elements in the corresponding characteristic sequence is zero (it is because we have the number of "1" equals $t-1$ and the number of "-1" equals $2k-s$).

The characteristic sequence of a $M$-pillar whose corresponding $Q$-graph lies in Category 1 has the following features (see Remark 3 and 4):

(1) The total length of the characteristic sequence is $4k$;
(2) The sequence starts with a zero and ends with a zero (the first down edge is a down innovation and the last up edge is not an innovation);
(3) The number "1" appears only in the even position in the sequence and the number "-1" only in the odd position (down edges are in the odd position while up edges are in the even);
(4) The total number of "1" in the characteristic sequence is $t-1$ ($t-1$ up innovation), which equals the total number of "-1";
(5) The sequences are made with the following subsequence structure:

$$\begin{aligned}
&1\underbrace{00}_{\text{two}}-1\\
&1\underbrace{000000}_{\text{six}}-1\\
&1\underbrace{0000000000}_{\text{ten}}-1\\
&\quad\cdots\\
&1\underbrace{0000\cdots00000}_{4k-6}-1\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Denote $f_{t-1}(k)$ as the number of $Q$-graphs whose $M$-pillar satisfies the above condition (1)-(5) (here, we have two index: $t-1$, which is the number of

up innovations and $k$, which is a quarter of the total length of the sequence), then we have the contribution of Category 1 to (6):

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{pT^{2k}}\cdot\sum_{t=1}^{k}T(T-1)\cdots(T-t+1)p(p-1)\cdots(p-s+1)f_{t-1}(k)\\&=\sum_{t=1}^{k}y_T^{2k-t}f_{t-1}(k)+O(\frac{1}{p})\ .\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

Category 3: Category 3 consists two situations, see the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** ([1]) *Denote the coincident multiplicities of the $l$-th noncoincident vertical edge by $a_l$, $l=1,2,\cdots,m$, where $m$ is the number of noncoincident vertical edges. If $Q\in Q_3$, then (a) either there is a $a_l\geq 3$ with $t+s-1\leq m<2k$ or (b) all $a_l=2$ with $t+s-1<m=2k$.*

First, we see the contribution of (a). By the moment assumption that the moment $\mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_{ij}|^a$ is bounded by $M^{a-2}$ for $a\geq 2$ and $a_1+\cdots+a_m=4k$, we conclude that the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{j_1\,i_1}\varepsilon_{j_1\,i_2}\varepsilon_{j_2\,s+i_2}\varepsilon_{j_2\,s+i_3}\varepsilon_{j_3\,i_3}\varepsilon_{j_3\,i_4}\varepsilon_{j_4\,s+i_4}\varepsilon_{j_4\,s+i_5}\cdots\varepsilon_{j_{2k}\,s+i_{2k}}\varepsilon_{j_{2k}\,s+i_1}]$$

in (6) has magnitude at most $M^{4k-2m}$. Then we have (6) bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{pT^{2k}}\cdot\sum_{t=1}^{k}T^tp^sM^{4k-2m}\#\{\text{isomorphism class in }Q_3\}\\&=O\left(\sum_{t=1}^{k}p^{t+s-k-m/2-1}\cdot\delta^{4k-2m}\right)\ ,\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

where the equality is due to the fact that $p$ and $T$ are in the same order and also for fixed $k$, the part $\#\{\text{isomorphism class in }Q_3\}$ is of order $O(1)$. Then

$$\sum_{t=1}^{k}p^{t+s-k-m/2-1}\leq\sum_{t=1}^{k}p^{m-k-m/2}\leq\sum_{t=1}^{k}p^{\frac{2k-1}{2}-k}=O(p^{-1/2})\ ,\tag{12}$$

which is due to the assumption that $t+s-1\leq m<2k$, so the contribution of (11) is $o(p^{-1/2})$.

Next, we consider the contribution of (b). Since all $a_l=2$, we have the part of expectation equals 1. Therefore, (6) is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{pT^{2k}}\cdot\sum_{t=1}^{k}T^tp^s\#\{\text{isomorphism class in }Q_3\}=O\left(\sum_{t=1}^{k}p^{t+s-2k-1}\right)=O(1/p)\ ,\tag{13}$$

where the equation is due to the fact that $t+s\leq 2k$.

Therefore, combine (10), (12) and (13), we finally have

$$\mathbb{E}(m_k(A_T)) = \sum_{t=1}^{k} y_T^{2k-t} f_{t-1}(k) + o(1) \ . \tag{14}$$

To end the proof of (7), we need to determine the value of $f_{t-1}(k)$. This involves complex combinatorics and analytic arguments and the details of the derivation is given in Section 5. Finally, using (56) derived in Remark 5 that

$$f_m(k) = \frac{1}{k}\binom{2k}{m}\binom{k}{m+1} \ ,$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E}(m_k(A_T)) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k}\binom{2k}{i}\binom{k}{i+1} y_T^{2k-1-i} + o(1) \ ,$$

which is (7).

**The proof of (8).** Recall

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Var}(m_k(A_T)) \\
&= \frac{1}{p^2T^{4k}} \sum_{\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1},\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2}} \left[\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_1(\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1})}E_{Q_2(\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2})}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_1(\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1})}\right)\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_2(\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2})}\right)\right] \ .
\end{aligned}$$

If $Q_1$ has no edges coincident with edges of $Q_2$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_1(\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1})}E_{Q_2(\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2})}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_1(\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1})}\right)\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_2(\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2})}\right) = 0$$

by independence between $E_{Q_1}$ and $E_{Q_2}$. If $Q = Q_1 \bigcup Q_2$ has an overall single edge, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_1(\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1})}E_{Q_2(\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2})}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_1(\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1})}\right)\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_2(\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2})}\right) = 0 \ ,$$

so the contribution to $\mathrm{Var}(m_k(A_T))$ is also zero.

Now, suppose $Q = Q_1 \bigcup Q_2$ contains no single edges, then there is at least one edge in $Q$ with multiplicity greater than or equal to 4, so the number of non-coincident I-vertices in $Q$ is at least $t_1 + t_2 - 1$ and J-vertices is $s_1 + s_2 - 1$. Since $t_1 + s_1 - 1 \le 2k$ and $t_2 + s_2 - 1 \le 2k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Var}(m_k(A_T)) \\
&= \frac{1}{p^2T^{4k}} \sum_{\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1},\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2}} \left[\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_1(\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1})}E_{Q_2(\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2})}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_1(\mathbf{i_1},\mathbf{j_1})}\right)\mathbb{E}\left(E_{Q_2(\mathbf{i_2},\mathbf{j_2})}\right)\right] \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{p^2T^{4k}} T^{t_1+t_2-1} p^{s_1+s_2-1}\right) \\
&= O(p^{-2}) \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

which is (8).

**Carleman condition.** In [8], the density function that corresponds to the Stieltjes transform $s(z)$ in (4) has been derived and it has compact support $[a, b]$, where

$$a = \frac{1}{8}\left(-1 + 20y + 8y^2 - (1+8y)^{3/2}\right) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{y \geq 1\}} \ ,$$
$$b = \frac{1}{8}\left(-1 + 20y + 8y^2 + (1+8y)^{3/2}\right) \ . \tag{15}$$

Therefore, we have

$$m_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k}{i} \binom{k}{i+1} y^{2k-1-i} \leq b^k \ . \tag{16}$$

From this, it is easy to see that the Carleman condition (3) is satisfied.
The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

*Remark 2* For the verification of Carleman condition, it would be enough to use the Stirling's formula in $m_k$ to derive a less sharp bound $m_k \leq A^k$ for some $A \geq b$. Since the sharp bound (16) will also be used in Section 4, its early introduction is thus preferred.

*Remark 3* The explanation of (5) in (9) is that in the original $Q$-graph, each vertical edge is repeated exactly twice, and then we glue the $I$-vertex $i_l$ and $i_l + s$ in its pillar $M(Q)$, therefore, the degree of each $I$-vertex is multiple of four, which implies that the length of each subsequence in (9) is multiple of four.

*Remark 4* Note that in a characteristic sequence, subsequences in (9) cannot intersect each other; for example, if we arrange two subsequences 1 0 0 -1 and 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 -1 in the characteristic sequence of length $4k = 16$, then the following two structures are allowed:

0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 -1 1 0 0 -1 0 0 0 (two subsequences are parallel) ,
0 1 0 1 0 0 -1 0 -1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 (one is completely contained in another) ;

while

0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 -1 0 -1 0 0 0 0 0 (two subsequences intersect each other)

is not.

## 4 Convergence of the largest eigenvalue of $A_T$

Recall that due to (16) in the previous section, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(m_k(A_T)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k}{i} \binom{k}{i+1} y_T^{2k-1-i} + o(1) \\ &\leq b(y_T)^k + o(1)\end{aligned}$$

for bounded $k$, where $b(y_T)$ is the value of $b$ in (15) while substituting $y_T$ for $y$. The main point in this section is to improve this estimation in order to allow a *growing* $k$ such that:

$$\mathbb{E}(m_k(A_T)) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k}{i} \binom{k}{i+1} y_T^{2k-1-i} \cdot (1 + o_k(1)) \ , \tag{17}$$

where this $o_k(1)$ now (depending on $k$) tends to zero when $k \to \infty$. For a moment, suppose we have already got (17), then we are able to control the largest eigenvalue of $A_T$, see the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** *Under the same conditions as in Theorem 1, the largest eigenvalue of $A_T$ converges to the right endpoint $b$ defined in (15) almost surely.*

*Proof* (of Theorem 2) First we show that almost surely,

$$\liminf l_1 \geq b \ . \tag{18}$$

Indeed on the set $\{\liminf l_1 < b\}$, we have $\liminf l_1 < b - \delta$ for some $\delta = \delta(\omega) > 0$. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous and positive function supported on $[b - \delta, b]$, with $\int g(x)dF(x) = 1$, where $F$ is the LSD of $F^{A_T}$. Then

$$\liminf \int g(x) dF^{A_T}(x) \leq 0 \ . \tag{19}$$

For such $\omega$, $F^{A_T}$ will not converge weakly to $F$. Since this convergence occurs almost surely by Theorem 1, the Claim (18) is proved.

Next, we claim that for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} P(l_1 > b + \delta) < \infty \ . \tag{20}$$

Write

$$l_1 - b = (l_1 - b)^+ - (l_1 - b)^- \ ,$$

with its positive and negative parts. Claim (20) implies that almost surely, $(l_1 - b)^+ \to 0$. Therefore, a.s.

$$\limsup(l_1 - b) \leq \limsup(l_1 - b)^+ = 0 \ .$$

Combine with (18), we have a.s. $\lim(l_1 - b) = 0$. It remains to prove Claim (20).

Since we have

$$P(l_1 > b + \delta) \leq P(\operatorname{tr} A_T^k \geq (b + \delta)^k) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} \operatorname{tr} A_T^k}{(b + \delta)^k} = \frac{p \cdot \mathbb{E}(m_k(A_T))}{(b + \delta)^k} \ , \tag{21}$$

and by (17),

$$p\cdot\mathbb{E}(m_k(A_T)) = p\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\frac{1}{k}\binom{2k}{i}\binom{k}{i+1}y_T^{2k-1-i}\cdot(1+o_k(1))$$
$$\le \left(p^{1/k}b(y_T)\right)^k\cdot(1+o_k(1)) \xrightarrow{p\to\infty} b^k\ ,$$

where the last convergence is due to the choice of $k$ that $k=(\log p)^{1.01}$ (see Theorem 3), so the term $p^{1/k}\to 1$ when $p\to\infty$. Once we fixed this $\delta>0$ in (21), then the right hand side tends to $\left(\frac{b}{b+\delta}\right)^k$, which is summable.
The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

The remaining of the section is devoted to deriving (17) used in the proof above.

**Theorem 3** *Let the conditions in Theorem 1 hold, and $k$ is an integer of size $k=(\log p)^{1.01}$ (say). Then we have*

$$\mathbb{E}(m_k(A_T)) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\frac{1}{k}\binom{2k}{i}\binom{k}{i+1}y_T^{2k-1-i}\cdot(1+o_k(1))\ .$$

*Proof* (of Theorem 3) When $k\to\infty$, the term #{isomorphism class} in (11) is no more a constant order. Then the main task is to show that the contribution of Category 3 to the $k$-th moment of $A_T$ when $k\to\infty$ can still be negligible compared with Category 1.

Since in Category 3, we have $t+s-1<2k$. Using the previous notion of the characteristic sequence, we have $\#\{1\}=t-1$ and $\#\{-1\}=2k-s$, and this is equivalent to the fact that $\#\{1\}<\#\{-1\}$.

First, we consider the case that $t=1$, which is to say $\#\{1\}=0$ and $\#\{-1\}=2k-s$. The way we choose $2k-s$ positions from the total $2k$ (the total number of length is $4k$, only the odd ones are allowed for "-1") is

$$\binom{2k}{2k-s}.$$

Then since we have $s$ noncoincident $J$-vertices on the $J$-line, the noncoincident vertical edges is at most $2s$ (since we have each edge repeated at least twice). Therefore, the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{j_1\,i_1}\varepsilon_{j_1\,i_2}\varepsilon_{j_2\,s+i_2}\varepsilon_{j_2\,s+i_3}\varepsilon_{j_3\,i_3}\varepsilon_{j_3\,i_4}\varepsilon_{j_4\,s+i_4}\varepsilon_{j_4\,s+i_5}\cdots\varepsilon_{j_{2k}\,s+i_{2k}}\varepsilon_{j_{2k}\,s+i_1}]$$

is bounded by $M^{4k-2s}$. Therefore, we have the contribution to (6):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{pT^{2k}}\sum_{s=1}^{2k-1} Tp^s M^{4k-2s}\binom{2k}{2k-s}\\
&=\left(\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\delta^2}\right)^{2k} p^{k-1}T^{1-2k}\delta^{4k}\sum_{s=1}^{2k-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\delta^2}\right)^{s-2k}\binom{2k}{s}\\
&=\left(\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\delta^2}\right)^{2k} p^{k-1}T^{1-2k}\delta^{4k}\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1}\left(\frac{\delta^2}{\sqrt{p}}\right)^{i}\binom{2k}{i}\\
&\le\left(\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\delta^2}\right)^{2k} p^{k-1}T^{1-2k}\delta^{4k}\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1}\left(\frac{2k\delta^2}{\sqrt{p}}\right)^{i}\\
&=O\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\delta^2}\right)^{2k} p^{k-1}T^{1-2k}\delta^{4k}\frac{2k\delta^2}{\sqrt{p}}\right)\\
&=O\left(\frac{2k\delta^2}{\sqrt{p}}y^{2k-1}\right)\ , \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

which is due to the fact that $k=(\log p)^{1.01}$.

Then consider the case that $t>1$. Since $\#\{1\}=t-1<\#\{-1\}=2k-s$, we can first construct a characteristic sequence that satisfies (1)(2)(3)(4)(5) in (9). Therefore, we have the degree of each $I$-vertex at least four and each edge in the $Q$-graph repeated exactly twice, which ensures that the $Q$-graph will not fall in Category 2. And the possible ways for constructing such a characteristic sequence is $f_{t-1}(k)$ by definition. Since in the characteristic sequence, $2(t-1)$ positions have been taken to place the "1" and "-1", there leaves $4k-2(t-1)-2/2$ (the sequence starts and ends with a zero, so we should exclude the two positions at the beginning and at the end, and also "-1" appears in the odd positions, so we should divide it by two) positions to place the remaining "-1", whose number is $2k-t-s+1$, so the choice is bounded by

$$\binom{2k-t}{2k-t-s+1}.$$

Let $m$ be the number of noncoincident vertical edges, which is no less than $t+s-1$, see Lemma 1, then the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{j_1\,i_1}\varepsilon_{j_1\,i_2}\varepsilon_{j_2\,s+i_2}\varepsilon_{j_2\,s+i_3}\varepsilon_{j_3\,i_3}\varepsilon_{j_3\,i_4}\varepsilon_{j_4\,s+i_4}\varepsilon_{j_4\,s+i_5}\cdots\varepsilon_{j_{2k}\,s+i_{2k}}\varepsilon_{j_{2k}\,s+i_1}]$$

is bounded by $M^{4k-2m} \le M^{4k-2(t+s-1)}$. Finally, the contribution to (6) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{pT^{2k}} \sum_s \sum_t M^{4k-2(t+s-1)} f_{t-1}(k) T^t p^s \binom{2k-t}{s-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{pT^{2k}} \sum_{t=1}^{k} M^{4k-2t+2} f_{t-1}(k) T^t \sum_{s=1}^{2k-t} \left(\frac{p}{M^2}\right)^s \binom{2k-t}{s-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{pT^{2k}} \sum_{t=1}^{k} M^{4k-2t+2} f_{t-1}(k) T^t \left(\frac{p}{M^2}\right)^{2k-t} \sum_{s=1}^{2k-t} \left(\frac{p}{M^2}\right)^{s-2k+t} \binom{2k-t}{s-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{pT^{2k}} \sum_{t=1}^{k} M^{4k-2t+2} f_{t-1}(k) T^t \left(\frac{p}{M^2}\right)^{2k-t} \sum_{l=0}^{2k-t-1} \left(\frac{M^2}{p}\right)^l \binom{2k-t}{l+1} \\
&\le \frac{1}{pT^{2k}} \sum_{t=1}^{k} M^{4k-2t+2} f_{t-1}(k) T^t \left(\frac{p}{M^2}\right)^{2k-t} \sum_{l=0}^{2k-t-1} \left(\frac{M^2}{p}\right)^l (2k-t)^{l+1} \\
&= O\left(\frac{k}{pT^{2k}} \sum_{t=1}^{k} M^{4k-2t+2} f_{t-1}(k) T^t \left(\frac{p}{M^2}\right)^{2k-t}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{k\delta^2}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k}{t} \binom{k}{t+1} y_T^{2k-t-1} \cdot\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Combine (22), (23) with the fact that $k = (\log p)^{1.01}$ leads to the fact that the contribution of $Q_3$ to (6) is

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k}{t} \binom{k}{t+1} y_T^{2k-t-1} \cdot o_k(1) \ .$$

The proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

## 5 Derivation of some master recursion formula

In this section, we derive some recursions that further leads to the results in Theorem 1.

First recall the definition of $f_m(k)$, which is the number of $M$-pillars whose characteristic sequence satisfies the following conditions:

(1) The total length of the characteristic sequence is $4k$;
(2) The sequence starts with a zero and ends with a zero;
(3) The number "1" appears only in the even position in the sequence and the number "-1" only in the odd position;
(4) The total number of "1" in the characteristic sequence is $m$;

(5) The sequences are made with the following subsequence structure:

$$1\underbrace{00}_{\text{two}}-1$$
$$1\underbrace{000000}_{\text{six}}-1$$
$$1\underbrace{0000000000}_{\text{ten}}-1$$
$$\cdots$$
$$1\underbrace{0000\cdots00000}_{4k-6}-1\ .$$

Also, we define another $M$-pillar, whose characteristic sequence also satisfies the above condition (1)(3)(4)(5), but with (2) replaced by the following $(2)^*$:

$(2)^*$ The sequence starts with a zero and ends with three zeros.

We denote $g_m(k)$ as the number of such $M$-pillar satisfying $(1)(2)^*(3)(4)(5)$.

### 5.1 Master recursions related to $f_m(k)$ and $g_m(k)$

In this subsection, we derive two master recursions related to $f_m(k)$ and $g_m(k)$.

Once a characteristic sequence with length $2k$ is given, we denote $S_n$ $(1 \le n \le 2k)$ as the partial sums of its first $n$ elements. We plot the points $(n, S_n)$, then connect $(n, S_n)$ and $(n+1, S_{n+1})$ with a straight line segment. For example, the random walk that corresponds to the characteristic sequence (0 1 0 1 0 0 -1 0 -1 0 0 0) is in the following Figure 6.




Fig. 6: The random walk that corresponds to the characteristic sequence (0 1 0 1 0 0 -1 0 -1 0 0 0).

**Definition 9** We say that there is a **return to the origin** at time $n$, if $S_n = 0$.

**Definition 10** A random walk is said to have a **first return to the origin** at time $n$, if $n > 0$, and $S_m \neq 0$ for all $m < n$.

In Figure 6, the first return occurs at time $n = 9$.

*5.1.1 Master recursion* 1

First, we start with $f_m(k)$. Suppose the first return occurs at time $i$ and $\max_{0\leq n\leq i} S_n = s$, which means that in the corresponding characteristic sequence, the number of "1" is $s$. For the reason that the length of the subsequence structures list in (5) are all multiplicity of four and condition (2), all the possibilities of $i$ are $i = 5, 7, \cdots, 4k-3, 4k-1$, we divide it into two cases:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Case } 1 : i_1 = 4j - 3 \; 2 \leq j \leq k \ , \\ &\text{Case } 2 : i_2 = 4j - 1 \; 2 \leq j \leq k \ . \end{aligned}$$

We partition the random walk into two parts according to the first return, see Figure 7.
Case 1: First, we consider the second part, which is of length $4k - i_1 = 4k - 4j + 3$, with the number of "1" being $m - s$ in its corresponding characteristic sequence. But this time, the sequence may not start with a "0" (once it returns to the origin, it can depart immediately, and in this case, the sequence starts with a "1"). Therefore, we add a zero in the front artificially, leading to a total length of $4k - 4j + 4$, which starts and ends with a zero. So the way of constructing such a sequence is $f_{m-s}(k - j + 1)$. Then consider the first part, which has a total length of $i_1$. Suppose the first departure from the origin is at time $n - 1$, where $n = 2,\ 6,\ \cdots,\ i_1 - 7,\ i_1 - 3$ (also, it means that the first arrival at 1 is at the time $n$), then if we move the axes to the point $(n, 1)$ (see the blue axes in Figure 7, the original axes are in black) and consider the random walk above the new x-axe (see the red parts in Figure 7), which has the length $i_1 - n - 1$. Further, this random walk starts and ends with a zero, and if we add two more zeros in its end, it will lead to a random walk with a total length of $i_1 - n + 1 = 4j - n - 2$, starts with a zero and ends with three zeros, with the number of "1" being $s - 1$. Therefore, the number of such random walk is $g_{s-1}(\frac{4j-n-2}{4})$ according to the definition. So we have got the total contribution of Case 1 is:

$$\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m \\ j=2,\cdots,k}} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{4j-6} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n-2}{4}\right) \right) \cdot f_{m-s}(k-j+1) \ . \tag{24}$$

Case 2: We follow the same route as in Case 1. After the first return, the remaining length is $4k - i_2 = 4k - 4j + 1$, then we add a zero in front and two zeros in the end, which leads to a random walk of total length $4k - 4j + 4$, starts with a zero and ends with three zeros. And the number of "1" is $m - s$. By definition, the way of constructing such a random walk is $g_{m-s}(k - j + 1)$. Then for the first part, also suppose the first departure from the origin is at




Fig. 7: Illustration of $f_m(k)$.

time $n-1$ ($n=4,\ 8,\ \cdots,\ i_2-7,\ i_2-3$) and consider the part of the random walk that is above the new x-axes (with the new origin located at $(n,1)$), which is of total length $i_2-n-1$. Then we add two more zeros in the end, results in a random walk of total length $i_2-n+1=4j-n$, starts with a zero and ends with three zeros, and the number of "1" is $s-1$. The way of constructing such a random walk is $g_{s-1}(\frac{4j-n}{4})$. And combine these two parts, the contribution of Case 2 is:

$$\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} \left(\sum_{n=4}^{4j-4} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n}{4}\right)\right)\cdot g_{m-s}(k-j+1)\ . \tag{25}$$

Overall, combine (24) and (25) leads to the following recursion:

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(k) = &\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{4j-6} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n-2}{4}\right)\right)\cdot f_{m-s}(k-j+1)\\ &+\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} \left(\sum_{n=4}^{4j-4} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n}{4}\right)\right)\cdot g_{m-s}(k-j+1)\\ =&\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} \left(\sum_{n=4}^{4j-4} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n}{4}\right)\right)\cdot [f_{m-s}(k-j+1)+g_{m-s}(k-j+1)]\ . \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

*5.1.2 Master recursion 2*

Then we start with $g_m(k)$. Also suppose the first return time is $i$, where $i=5,7,\cdots,4k-5,4k-3$ (in the definition of $g_m(k)$, the characteristic sequence

ends with three zeros, so the maximum value of $i$ is $4k-3$ here). We divide these $i$ into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{Case } 1: i_1 = 4j-5 \; 3 \le j \le k \ ,\\ &\text{Case } 2: i_2 = 4j-3 \; 2 \le j \le k \ .\end{aligned}$$

As before, we suppose the number of "1" is $s$ in the first part.

Case 1: First for the second part, which ends with three zeros but may not start with a zero. Since the total length is $4k - i_1 = 4k - 4j + 5$, if we add a zero in its beginning and remove the last two zeros, then it will result in a random walk whose characteristic sequence starts and ends with a zero, whose length is $4k-4j+5+1-2 = 4k-4j+4$, with the number of "1" being $m-s$. The total number of constructing such a random walk is $f_{m-s}(k-j+1)$. Then for the first part, suppose the first departure from the origin is at time $n-1$, where $n = 4, 8, \cdots, i_1-7, i_1-3$. We do the same thing as before, add the new axes whose origin is located at $(n, 1)$. Then we consider the part above this new x-axe, see also the red part in Figure 8, whose length is $i_1 - n - 1$. We add two more zeros in the end, it actually becomes the random walk with a total length of $i_1 - n + 1 = 4j - n - 4$, starts with a zero and ends with three zeros, with the number of "1" being $s-1$. The way of constructing such a random walk is $g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n-4}{4}\right)$. Combine all this, the contribution of Case 1 is

$$\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=3,\cdots,k}} \left( \sum_{n=4}^{4j-8} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n-4}{4}\right)\right) \cdot f_{m-s}(k-j+1) \ . \tag{27}$$




Fig. 8: Illustration of $g_m(k)$.

Case 2: For the second part, we add a zero in the front, which leads to a random walk of total length $4k - i_2 + 1 = 4k - 4j + 4$, starts with a zero and ends with three zeros, with the number of "1" being $m-s$, so the way of constructing such a random walk is $g_{m-s}(k-j+1)$. Then for the first part, we also consider the part that above the new x-axe. Since $n = 2, 6, \cdots, i_2-7, i_2-3$

this time, we add two more zeros in the end and this leads to a random walk with total length of $i_2 - n + 1 = 4j - 3 - n + 1$, starts with a zero and ends with three zeros, with the number of "1" being $s - 1$, and the total way of constructing such a random walk is $g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-2-n}{4}\right)$. Combine these two parts, the contribution of Case 2 is

$$\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{4j-6} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n-2}{4}\right)\right) \cdot g_{m-s}(k-j+1)\ . \tag{28}$$

Combine (27) and (28) leads to the recursion that

$$\begin{aligned} g_m(k) = & \sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=3,\cdots,k}} \left( \sum_{n=4}^{4j-8} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n-4}{4}\right)\right) \cdot f_{m-s}(k-j+1) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{4j-6} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n-2}{4}\right)\right) \cdot g_{m-s}(k-j+1) \\ = & \sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=3,\cdots,k}} \left( \sum_{n=6}^{4j-6} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n-2}{4}\right)\right) \cdot (f_{m-s}(k-j+1) + g_{m-s}(k-j+1)) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} g_{s-1}(j-1) g_{m-s}(k-j+1) \\ = & \sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=3,\cdots,k}} \left( \sum_{n=8}^{4j-4} g_{s-1}\left(\frac{4j-n}{4}\right)\right) \cdot (f_{m-s}(k-j+1) + g_{m-s}(k-j+1)) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} g_{s-1}(j-1) g_{m-s}(k-j+1)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

As a result, (26) and (29) lead to the two recursions that related to $f_m(k)$ and $g_m(k)$:

$$\begin{cases} f_m(k) - g_m(k) = \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} g_{s-1}(j-1) f_{m-s}(k-j+1) \\ g_m(k) = \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=3,\cdots,k}} \left( \sum_{l=2}^{j-1} g_{s-1}(j-l)\right) \cdot (f_{m-s}(k-j+1) + g_{m-s}(k-j+1)) \\ \qquad + \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} g_{s-1}(j-1) g_{m-s}(k-j+1) \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

5.2 Recursions related to $F_k(z)$ and $G_k(z)$

Define $F_k(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} f_m(k) z^m$ and $G_k(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} g_m(k) z^m$. Recall the definition of $f_m(k)$ and $g_m(k)$, where $m \leq k-1$, so we make the convention that $f_k(k) = g_k(k) = 0$ and $f_0(k) = g_0(k) = 1$. In this subsection, the main purpose is to derive the following two recursions that related to $F_k(z)$ and $G_k(z)$:

$$\begin{cases} F_k(z) - G_k(z) = z \sum_{j=2}^{k} G_{j-1}(z) \cdot F_{k-j+1}(z) \\ G_k(z) = 1 + z \sum_{j=3}^{k} \sum_{l=2}^{j-1} G_{j-l}(z) \left( F_{k-j+1}(z) + G_{k-j+1}(z) \right) \end{cases}$$
$$+ z \sum_{j=2}^{k} G_{j-1}(z) G_{k-j+1}(z) \ . \tag{31}$$

By multiplying $z^m$ on both sides in the first recursion in (30), and then summing from $m = 1$ to $k$, the left side equals to:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{k} f_m(k) z^m - \sum_{m=1}^{k} g_m(k) z^m &= \sum_{m=0}^{k} f_m(k) z^m - \sum_{m=0}^{k} g_m(k) z^m \\ &= F_k(z) - G_k(z) \ , \end{aligned}$$

and the right side equals to

$$z \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m \\ j=2,\cdots,k}} g_{s-1}(j-1) z^{s-1} \cdot f_{m-s}(k-j+1) z^{m-s} \ . \tag{32}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} G_{j-1}(z) \cdot F_{k-j+1}(z) &= \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} g_m(j-1) z^m \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{k-j+1} f_n(k-j+1) z^n \\ &:= \sum_{s=0}^{k} a_s \cdot z^s \ , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & s = m + n \ , \\ & a_s = \sum_{m+n=s} g_m(j-1) f_n(k-j+1) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Then (32) equals to

$$z\sum_{j=2}^{k}\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{m-1}\cdot z^{m-1} = z\sum_{j=2}^{k}\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} a_m\cdot z^m = z\sum_{j=2}^{k}\sum_{m=0}^{k} a_m\cdot z^m$$
$$= z\sum_{j=2}^{k} G_{j-1}(z)\cdot F_{k-j+1}(z)\ ,$$

where the second equality is due to the fact that $a_k = 0$ (since in (33), we have $m \le j-2$ and $n \le k-j$ by definition, then $s = m+n \le k-2$, so the term $a_{k-1} = a_k = 0$). Therefore, we have got

$$F_k(z) - G_k(z) = z\sum_{j=2}^{k} G_{j-1}(z)\cdot F_{k-j+1}(z)\ ,$$

which is the first recursion in (31).

Next, from the second recursion in (30), we have:

$$g_m(k) = \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=3,\cdots,k}} \left(\sum_{l=2}^{j-1} g_{s-1}(j-l)\right)\cdot\left(f_{m-s}(k-j+1) + g_{m-s}(k-j+1)\right)}_{(I)}$$
$$+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{s=1,\cdots,m\\ j=2,\cdots,k}} g_{s-1}(j-1)g_{m-s}(k-j+1)}_{(II)}\ . \tag{34}$$

Consider

$$G_{j-l}(z)F_{k-j+1}(z) = \sum_{u=0}^{j-l} g_u(j-l)z^u \cdot \sum_{v=0}^{k-j+1} f_v(k-j+1)z^v$$
$$:= \sum_{n=0}^{k+1-l} b_n z^n\ , \tag{35}$$

where $n = u+v$, $b_n = \sum_{u+v=n} g_u(j-l)f_v(k-j+1)$, also, we have $u \le j-l-1$ and $v \le k-j$, which leads to $n = u+v \le k-l-1$, so the terms that correspond to $n = k-l+1,\ k-l$ equal zero. For the same reason,

$$G_{j-l}(z)G_{k-j+1}(z) = \sum_{u=0}^{j-l} g_u(j-l)z^u \cdot \sum_{v=0}^{k-j+1} g_v(k-j+1)z^v$$
$$:= \sum_{n=0}^{k+1-l} c_n z^n\ , \tag{36}$$

where $n = u + v$, $c_n = \sum_{u+v=n} g_u(j-l)g_v(k-j+1)$ and the terms that correspond to $n = k-l+1,\ k-l$ equal zero. And

$$G_{j-1}(z)G_{k-j+1}(z) = \sum_{u=0}^{j-l} g_u(j-l)z^u \cdot \sum_{v=0}^{k-j+1} g_v(k-j+1)z^v$$
$$:= \sum_{n=0}^{k} d_n z^n \ , \tag{37}$$

where $n = u + v$, $d_n = \sum_{u+v=n} g_u(j-1)g_v(k-j+1)$ and the terms that correspond to $n = k,\ k-1$ equal zero since $u \le j-2$ and $v \le k-j$ lead to $n = u + v \le k-2$.

We multiply $z^m$ on both sides of (34) and sum from $m = 1$ to $k$, then the left side equals to

$$G_k(z) - 1 \ . \tag{38}$$

Now consider the part $(I)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{k}(I)\cdot z^m &= \sum_{m=1}^{k}\sum_{j=3}^{k}\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}(b_{m-1}+c_{m-1})\cdot z^m \\
&= z\sum_{j=3}^{k}\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} b_n z^n + \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} c_n z^n\right] \\
&= z\sum_{j=3}^{k}\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{k-l-1} b_n z^n + \sum_{n=0}^{k-l-1} c_n z^n\right] \\
&= z\sum_{j=3}^{k}\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{k-l+1} b_n z^n + \sum_{n=0}^{k-l+1} c_n z^n\right] \\
&= z\sum_{j=3}^{k}\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}\left[G_{j-l}(z)F_{k-j+1}(z) + G_{j-l}(z)G_{k-j+1}(z)\right] \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

And for the part $(II)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{k}(II)\cdot z^m &= \sum_{m=1}^{k}\sum_{j=2}^{k} d_{m-1}\cdot z^m = z\sum_{j=2}^{k}\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} d_m \cdot z^m \\
&= z\sum_{j=2}^{k}\sum_{m=0}^{k} d_m \cdot z^m = z\sum_{j=2}^{k} G_{j-1}(z)G_{k-j+1}(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Combining (34), (38), (39) and (40), we have got

$$G_k(z) = 1 + z\sum_{j=3}^{k}\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}[G_{j-l}(z)F_{k-j+1}(z) + G_{j-l}(z)G_{k-j+1}(z)] + z\sum_{j=2}^{k} G_{j-1}(z)G_{k-j+1}(z) \ ,$$

which is the second recursion in (31).

### 5.3 Equations related to $F(z,x)$ and $G(z,x)$

Define $F(z,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k(z)x^k$, $G(z,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k(z)x^k$ and the term that corresponding to $k=0$ equals 0. In this section, we derive the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} F(z,x) - G(z,x) = zF(z,x)G(z,x) \ , \\ G(z,x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k + z\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} F(z,x)G(z,x)x^{k-1} + z\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} G(z,x)G(z,x)x^{k-1} \\ \qquad + zG(z,x)G(z,x) \ , \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

which will lead to the solutions of $F(z,x)$ and $G(z,x)$ as functions of $z$ and $x$.

Since the first recursion in (31):

$$F_k(z) - G_k(z) = z\sum_{j=2}^{k} G_{j-1}(z)\cdot F_{k-j+1}(z) \ ,$$

we multiply $x^k$ on both sides and do summation from $k=1$ to $\infty$, then the left side is exactly $F(z,x) - G(z,x)$. The right side is

$$z\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=2}^{k} G_{j-1}(z)F_{k-j+1}(z)x^k = zF(z,x)G(z,x) \ ,$$

which leads to the first equation in (41):

$$F(z,x) - G(z,x) = zF(z,x)G(z,x) \ .$$

Then we denote the second recursion in (31) as follows:

$$G_k(z) = 1 + \underbrace{z\sum_{j=3}^{k}\sum_{l=2}^{j-1} G_{j-l}(z)F_{k-j+1}(z)}_{(I)} + \underbrace{z\sum_{j=3}^{k}\sum_{l=2}^{j-1} G_{j-l}(z)G_{k-j+1}(z)}_{(II)} + \underbrace{z\sum_{j=2}^{k} G_{j-1}(z)G_{k-j+1}(z)}_{(III)} \ . \tag{42}$$

We multiply $x^k$ on both sides and then do summation from $k=1$ to $\infty$, the left side equals $G(z,x)$. The part $(I)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(I)\cdot x^k &= z\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=3}^{k}\sum_{l=2}^{j-1}G_{j-l}(z)F_{k-j+1}(z)x^k \\ &= z\sum_{k=3}^{\infty}\sum_{l=2}^{k-1}\left(\sum_{j=l+1}^{k}G_{j-l}(z)x^{j-l}\cdot F_{k-j+1}(z)x^{k-j+1}\right)\cdot x^{l-1} \\ &= z\sum_{l=2}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=l+1}^{k}G_{j-l}(z)x^{j-l}\cdot F_{k-j+1}(z)x^{k-j+1}\right)\cdot x^{l-1} \\ &= z\sum_{l=2}^{\infty}F(z,x)G(z,x)x^{l-1}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and for the same reason, the contribution of part $(II)$ equals

$$z\sum_{l=2}^{\infty}G(z,x)G(z,x)x^{l-1}\ . \tag{44}$$

Lastly for the part $(III)$:

$$\begin{aligned}z\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=2}^{k}G_{j-1}(z)G_{k-j+1}(z)x^k &= z\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=2}^{k}G_{j-1}(z)x^{j-1}\cdot G_{k-j+1}(z)x^{k-j+1} \\ &= zG(z,x)G(z,x). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Finally, combining (43), (44) and (45) leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}G(z,x) = &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}x^k + z\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}F(z,x)G(z,x)x^{k-1} + z\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}G(z,x)G(z,x)x^{k-1} \\ &+ zG(z,x)G(z,x)\ ,\end{aligned}$$

which is the second equation in (41).

## 5.4 Exact formula for $m_k$

First, since $F(z,x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}F_k(z)x^k$, we have

$$F_k(z) = \frac{1}{k!}\cdot\left.\frac{\partial F(z,x)}{\partial x^k}\right|_{x=0}\ . \tag{46}$$

In the following, we will use the shorthands $F = F(z,x)$, $G = G(z,x)$ and $F_k = F_k(z)$. In (41), we can first express $G$ in function of $F$ using the first equation and then derive from the second equation that

$$F = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} x^l \cdot (1 + z^2F^2 + 2zF + zF^2 + z^2F^3 + zF^2) \ .$$

Taking $k$-th derivative on both sides with respect to $x$ and combining with (46), we have:

$$F_k = 1 + 2z\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} F_j + (z^2+2z)\sum_{l=2}^{k-1}\sum_{\substack{a+b=l\\a,b\geq 1}} F_aF_b + z^2\sum_{l=3}^{k-1}\sum_{\substack{a+b+c=l\\a,b,c\geq 1}} F_aF_bF_c \ . \tag{47}$$

Due to the definition of $m_k$ that $m_k = y^{2k-1}F_k\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)$, substituting $1/y$ for $z$ in (47) and multiplying both sides by $y^{2k-1}$, we get the recursion for $m_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} m_k = y^{2k-1} &+ \frac{2}{y}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} m_j \cdot y^{2k-2j} + (\frac{1}{y^2}+\frac{2}{y})\sum_{l=2}^{k-1}\sum_{\substack{a+b=l\\a,b\geq 1}} m_am_b \cdot y^{2k-2l+1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{y^2}\sum_{l=3}^{k-1}\sum_{\substack{a+b+c=l\\a,b,c\geq 1}} m_am_bm_c \cdot y^{2k-2l+2} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Then we substitute $k-1$ for $k$ in (48) and multiply both sides by $y^2$, which is the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 \cdot m_{k-1} = y^{2k-1} &+ \frac{2}{y}\sum_{j=1}^{k-2} m_j \cdot y^{2k-2j} + (\frac{1}{y^2}+\frac{2}{y})\sum_{l=2}^{k-2}\sum_{\substack{a+b=l\\a,b\geq 1}} m_am_b \cdot y^{2k-2l+1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{y^2}\sum_{l=3}^{k-2}\sum_{\substack{a+b+c=l\\a,b,c\geq 1}} m_am_bm_c \cdot y^{2k-2l+2} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

By combining (48) and (49), we have:

$$m_k = (2y+y^2)m_{k-1} + (y+2y^2)\cdot \sum_{\substack{a+b=k-1\\a,b\geq 1}} m_am_b + y^2 \cdot \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=k-1\\a,b,c\geq 1}} m_am_bm_c \ . \tag{50}$$

By the definition of $m_k$ that $m_0 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=k-1\\a,b,c\geq 1}} m_am_bm_c &= \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=k-1\\a,b,c\geq 0}} m_am_bm_c - 3\sum_{\substack{a+b=k-1\\a,b\geq 1}} m_am_b - 3m_{k-1} \ , \\ \sum_{\substack{a+b=k-1\\a,b\geq 1}} m_am_b &= \sum_{\substack{a+b=k-1\\a,b\geq 0}} m_am_b - 2m_{k-1} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Bringing these two equations in (51) into (50), we get:

$$y^2 \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=k-1 \\ a,b,c\geq 0}} m_a m_b m_c + (y-y^2) \sum_{\substack{a+b=k-1 \\ a,b\geq 0}} m_a m_b = m_k \ . \tag{52}$$

Let $h(x)$ be the moment generating function: $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k x^k$, the we multiply $x^k$ on both sides of (52) and do summation from $k = 1$ to $\infty$ and combine with the fact that

$$h(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k x^k \ , \tag{53}$$

we have the following equality:

$$xy^2 h^3(x) + x(y-y^2)h^2(x) - h(x) + 1 = 0 \ . \tag{54}$$

Based on the theory of Bürmann-Lagrange series, see Page 145 of [12], and let $z = h(x) - 1$ and $\varphi = y^2(z+1)^3 + (y-y^2)(z+1)^2$, we may invert (54) to obtain that

$$z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{n!} \left[ \frac{d^{n-1}\left[y^n (z+1)^{2n}(yz+1)^n\right]}{dz^{n-1}} \right] \Bigg|_{z=0} \ ,$$

where $w = z/\varphi = x$. Then based on the Leibniz's rule in differential calculus, we have

$$\frac{d^{n-1}\left[(z+1)^{2n}(yz+1)^n\right]}{dz^{n-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \left[ \frac{d^i\left[(z+1)^{2n}\right]}{dz^i} \cdot \frac{d^{n-1-i}\left[(yz+1)^n\right]}{dz^{n-1-i}} \right] \ ,$$

which leads to the fact that

$$h(x) = 1 + z = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n}{i} \binom{n}{i+1} y^{2n-1-i} \right] \cdot x^n \ ,$$

and this is equivalent to

$$m_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k}{i} \binom{k}{i+1} y^{2k-1-i} \ . \tag{55}$$

*Remark 5* Since

$$m_k = y^{2k-1} F_k\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) = y^{2k-1} \sum_{m=0}^{k} f_m(k) \frac{1}{y^m} = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} f_m(k) y^{2k-1-m} \ ,$$

(55) reduces to the fact that

$$f_m(k) = \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k}{m} \binom{k}{m+1} \ . \tag{56}$$

*Remark 6* The recursion (52) has a remarkable nature. Notice that the recursion

$$c_k = \sum_{\substack{a+b=k-1 \\ a,b\geq 0}} c_a c_b$$

and

$$d_k = \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=k-1 \\ a,b,c\geq 0}} d_a d_b d_c$$

define the (standard) Catalan numbers and the generalized Catalan numbers of order three, respectively (see [5]). The moment sequence $(m_k)$ of the LSD of this paper can be thought as a complex combination of these two families of Catalan numbers.

## References


1. Bai, Z.D. and Silverstein, J.W. (2010). *Spectral Analysis of Large Dimensional Random Matrices* (2nd edition). *Springer, 20.*
2. Bai, Z.D. and Yin, Y.Q. (1988). Necessary and sufficient conditions for the almost sure convergence of the largest eigenvalue of Wigner matrices. *Ann. Probab.* **16**(4), 1729–1741.
3. Geman, S. (1980). A limit theorem for the norm of random matrices. *Ann. Probab.* **14**(4), 1318–1328.
4. Girko, V.L. (1984). Circle law. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **4**, 694–706.
5. Hilton, P. and Pedersen, J. (1991). Catalan numbers, their generalization, and their uses. *Math. Intelligencer*, **13**, 64–75.
6. Jin, B. S., Wang, C., Bai, Z. D., Nair, K. K. and Harding, M. C. (2014) Limiting spectral distribution of a symmetrized auto-cross covariance matrix. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **24**(3), 1199-1225.
7. Lam, Clifford and Yao, Q.W. (2012). Factor modeling for high-dimensional time series: inference for the number of factors. *Ann. Statist.* **40**, 694–726.
8. Li, Z., Pan, G.M. and Yao, J.F. (2013). On singular value distribution of large-dimensional autocovariance matrices. *Preprint*, available at arXiv:1402.6149.
9. Liu, H.Y., Aue, A. and Paul, D. (2013). On the Marčenko-Pastur law for linear time series. *Preprint*, available at arXiv:1310.7270.
10. Marčenko, V.A. and Pastur, L.A. (1967). Distribution of eigenvalues for some sets of random matrices. *Math. USSR-Sb*, **1**, 457–483.
11. Péché, S. and Soshnikov, A. (2008). On the lower bound of the spectral norm of symmetric random matrices with independent entries. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, **13**, 280-290.
12. Pólya, G. and Szegö, G. (1976). Problems and theorems in analysis (Volume I). *Springer.*
13. Tao, T. (2011). Topics in random matrix theory.
Available at http://terrytao.files.wordpress.com/2011/02/matrix-book.pdf.
14. Vershynin, R. (2008). Spectral norm of products of random and deterministic matrices. *Preprint*, available at arXiv:0812.2432.
15. Vu, V. (2007). Spectral norm of random matrices. *Combinatorica*, **27**(6), 721-736.
16. Wang, C., Jin, B. S., Bai, Z. D., Nair, K. K. and Harding, M. C. (2013) Strong Limit of the Extreme Eigenvalues of a Symmetrized Auto-Cross Covariance Matrix. *Preprint*, available at arXiv:1312.2277.
17. Wigner, E.P. (1958). On the distribution of the roots of certain symmetric matrices. *Ann. Math.* **67**, 325–327.
18. Yin, Y.Q., Bai, Z.D. and Krishnaiah, P.R. (1988). On the limit of the largest eigenvalue of the large dimensional sample covariance matrix. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **78**, 509–521.